

# Characteristics and outcomes of doctors in a substance dependence monitoring programme in Canada: prospective descriptive study

Joan M Brewster, I Michael Kaufmann, Sarah Hutchison and Cynthia MacWilliam

BMJ 2008;337;a2098 doi:10.1136/bmj.a2098

Updated information and services can be found at: http://bmj.com/cgi/content/full/337/nov03\_4/a2098

These include:

**References** This article cites 7 articles, 2 of which can be accessed free at:

http://bmj.com/cgi/content/full/337/nov03\_4/a2098#BIBL

**Rapid responses** You can respond to this article at:

http://bmj.com/cgi/eletter-submit/337/nov03\_4/a2098

**Email alerting** Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the

box at the top left of the article

**Topic collections** Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

Drug misuse (including addiction) (1276 articles) Drugs misuse (including addiction) (859 articles)

**Notes** 

service



# RESEARCH

## Characteristics and outcomes of doctors in a substance dependence monitoring programme in Canada: prospective descriptive study

Joan M Brewster, assistant professor, <sup>1</sup> I Michael Kaufmann, medical director, <sup>2</sup> Sarah Hutchison, senior director, information management, <sup>3</sup> Cynthia MacWilliam, associate director<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, 155 College Street, Toronto, ON, M5T 3M7, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Ontario Physician Health Program, Ontario Medical Association, Toronto

<sup>3</sup>Ontario Medical Association,

Correspondence to: J M Brewster imbrewster@sympatico.ca

Cite this as: *BMJ* 2008;337:a2098 doi:10.1136/bmj.a2098

### **ABSTRACT**

**Objective** To describe the characteristics at enrolment and outcomes of doctors in a substance dependence monitoring programme in Canada.

Design Prospective descriptive study.

Setting Provincial physician health programme, Canada. Participants All 100 doctors consecutively admitted to a substance dependence monitoring programme and followed until completion of monitoring or on leaving the programme.

**Main outcome measure** Relapse during long term monitoring for five years.

Results Ninety per cent of the doctors enrolled on the programme were men, 66% were married or living with a partner, 44% had had previous treatment for substance dependence, and 36% had had previous psychiatric treatment. Smokers were over-represented compared with the general population of US doctors (38% v 5%). During the monitoring period 71% of participants had no known relapse. An additional 14% went on to complete the programme, after some form of relapse. In total, 85% of the doctors successfully completed the programme. Conclusion In this cohort of doctors enrolled on the Ontario Physician Health Program for substance dependence, most were men who were dependent on alcohol or opioids. Smokers were over-represented compared with the general population of US doctors. Eighty five per cent successfully completed the programme.

### INTRODUCTION

Programmes to help doctors who are dependent on substances such as drugs and alcohol exist in every US state¹ and every Canadian province,² in collaboration with medical associations or regulatory bodies. The British Medical Association also has developed a peer support programme.³ Descriptions of participants with drug related problems in physician health programmes consistently show that alcohol is the most common drug of choice, followed by opioids, and that multiple drug use is common.⁴ Female doctors are generally under-represented as participants.⁶ Among these doctors, long term recovery rates are higher than

those for substance use disorders in the general population, often exceeding 85%.48-10

In Canada the Ontario Physician Health Program is among the largest of such programmes and, by 2002, had enrolled 100 doctors for monitoring recovery from substance dependence. Recovering doctors who choose monitoring are enrolled by a case manager from the programme after they have been diagnosed as dependent on substances, successfully treated, and established in early stable remission. Contract requirements for monitoring include visits to an addiction medicine doctor, visits to a family doctor for routine health needs, attendance at facilitated health professional support groups, attendance at mutual support groups in the community, monitoring in the workplace, and random screening of urine for alcohol and drugs of misuse. The usual agreement for monitoring is five years of continuing progress in recovery. Contingencies built into the monitoring agreement can result in details of the case being reported to the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario, the regulatory body, when aspects of the contract are breached.

By the end of 2007 all of the first 100 doctors enrolled on the Ontario Physician Health Program for monitoring of substance dependence had completed contracts. We describe these doctors and their outcomes.

### **METHODS**

Participants were the first 100 doctors enrolled in the Ontario Physician Health Program for monitoring of substance dependence after the formation of the programme in 1995. All were diagnosed as dependent on substances according to criteria of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, fourth edition, and treated for substance dependence, usually in a residential abstinence based programme for 4-6 weeks, before entering the monitoring programme.

### Intake measure and outcome measure (relapse)

On entering the monitoring programme, the doctors completed a clinical intake questionnaire detailing personal characteristics, history of substance misuse in the family, professional education and practice,

### Participants' personal characteristics and history of drug use and treatment at enrolment in the Ontario Physician Health Program

No of participants (n=100)	Variable	No (%)
Women       10 (10)         Maritad or living with a partner       66 (66)         Single       34 (34)         Specialty (n=92):       34 (49)         General practice or family practice       47 (51)         Specialist       45 (49)         Practice setting (n=86):       35 (41)         Group practice       20 (23)         Other       31 (36)         Practice community size (n=94):       40 (23)         45000       3 (3)         5000-24 999       7 (7)         25 000-99 999       18 (19)         100 000-500 000       39 (41)         35000-000       39 (41)         35000 000       27 (29)         Status of medical licence (n=96):       10 (29)         Unaffected       66 (69)         Restricted or suspended       30 (31)         Involved with licensing body* at enrolment (n=99):       18 (31)         Yes       65 (66)         No       34 (34)         Primary drug of choice (n=95):       48 (51)         Alcohol       48 (51)         Opicids       35 (37)         Other       12 (13)         Previous treatment for drug related problem (n=97):         Yes	No of participants (n=100)	
Marital status (n=100):       66 (66)         Single       34 (34)         Specialty (n=92):	Men	90 (90)
Married or living with a partner       66 (66)         Single       34 (34)         Specialty (n=92):       34 (34)         General practice or family practice       47 (51)         Specialist       45 (49)         Practice setting (n=86):       35 (41)         Group practice       20 (23)         Other       31 (36)         Practice community size (n=94):       (5000         <5000	Women	10 (10)
Single       34 (34)         Specialty (n=92):       47 (51)         General practice or family practice       47 (51)         Specialist       45 (49)         Practice setting (n=86):       35 (41)         Group practice       20 (23)         Other       31 (36)         Practice community size (n=94):       (5000         (5000       3 (3)         5000-24 999       7 (7)         25 000-99 999       18 (19)         100 000-500 000       27 (29)         Status of medical licence (n=96):       41 (19)         Unaffected       66 (69)         Restricted or suspended       30 (31)         Involved with licensing body* at enrolment (n=99):       Yes         Yes       65 (66)         No       34 (34)         Primary drug of choice (n=95):       A4 (41)         Alcohol       48 (51)         Opioids       35 (37)         Other       12 (13)         Previous treatment for drug related problem (n=97):       Yes         Yes       35 (38)         No       54 (56)         Current tobacco smoker (n=93):       Yes         Yes       35 (38)         No       64 (	Marital status (n=100):	
Specialty (n=92):         47 (51)           Specialist         45 (49)           Practice setting (n=86):         35 (41)           Single practice         35 (41)           Group practice         20 (23)           Other         31 (36)           Practice community size (n=94):         5000           5000 (24) 999         7 (7)           25 000-99 999         18 (19)           100 000-500 000         39 (41)           >500 000         27 (29)           Status of medical licence (n=96):         Unaffected           Unaffected         66 (69)           Restricted or suspended         30 (31)           Involved with licensing body* at enrolment (n=99):         Yes           Yes         65 (66)           No         34 (34)           Primary drug of choice (n=95):         Alcohol           Alcohol         48 (51)           Opicids         35 (37)           Other         12 (13)           Previous treatment for drug related problem (n=97):         Yes           Yes         35 (38)           No         54 (56)           Current tobacco smoker (n=93):         Yes           Yes         36 (36)           N	Married or living with a partner	66 (66)
General practice or family practice	Single	34 (34)
Specialist   45 (49)	Specialty (n=92):	
Practice setting (n=86):  Single practice 35 (41) Group practice 20 (23) Other 31 (36) Practice community size (n=94):	General practice or family practice	47 (51)
Single practice       35 (41)         Group practice       20 (23)         Other       31 (36)         Practice community size (n=94):	Specialist	45 (49)
Group practice   20 (23)     Other   31 (36)     Practice community size (n=94):     (5000   3 (3)     5000-24 999   7 (7)     25 000-99 999   18 (19)     100 000-500 000   39 (41)     >500 000   27 (29)     Status of medical licence (n=96):     Unaffected   66 (69)     Restricted or suspended   30 (31)     Involved with licensing body* at enrolment (n=99):     Yes   65 (66)     No   34 (34)     Primary drug of choice (n=95):     Alcohol   48 (51)     Opioids   35 (37)     Other   12 (13)     Previous treatment for drug related problem (n=97):     Yes   43 (44)     No   54 (56)     Current tobacco smoker (n=93):     Yes   35 (38)     No   58 (62)     Previous psychiatric treatment (past five years) (n=100):     Yes   36 (36)     No   64 (64)     Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96):     Yes   58 (60)     No   81 (84)     Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)   45.4 (10.5)     Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)   16.2 (10.8)	Practice setting (n=86):	
Other       31 (36)         Practice community size (n=94):	Single practice	35 (41)
Practice community size (n=94):  (5000 3 (3) 5000-24 999 7 (7) 25 000-99 999 18 (19) 100 000-500 000 39 (41) 2500 000 27 (29) Status of medical licence (n=96):  Unaffected 66 (69) Restricted or suspended 30 (31) Involved with licensing body* at enrolment (n=99):  Yes 65 (66) No 34 (34) Primary drug of choice (n=95):  Alcohol 48 (51) Opioids 35 (37) Other 12 (13) Previous treatment for drug related problem (n=97):  Yes 43 (44) No 54 (56) Current tobacco smoker (n=93):  Yes 35 (38) No 58 (62) Previous psychiatric treatment (past five years) (n=100): Yes 36 (36) No 64 (64) Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96): Yes 58 (60) No 81 (84) Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100) Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94) 16.2 (10.8)	Group practice	20 (23)
\$5000	Other	31 (36)
5000-24 999         7 (7)           25 000-99 999         18 (19)           100 000-500 000         39 (41)           >500 000         27 (29)           Status of medical licence (n=96):	Practice community size (n=94):	
25 000-99 999 18 (19) 100 000-500 000 39 (41) >500 000 27 (29)  Status of medical licence (n=96):  Unaffected 66 (69) Restricted or suspended 30 (31) Involved with licensing body* at enrolment (n=99):  Yes 65 (66) No 34 (34)  Primary drug of choice (n=95):  Alcohol 48 (51) Opioids 35 (37) Other 12 (13)  Previous treatment for drug related problem (n=97):  Yes 43 (44) No 54 (56)  Current tobacco smoker (n=93):  Yes 35 (38) No 58 (62)  Previous psychiatric treatment (past five years) (n=100):  Yes 36 (36) No 64 (64)  Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96):  Yes 58 (60) No 38 (40)  Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):  Yes 15 (16) No 81 (84) Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100) 45.4 (10.5) Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)	<5000	3 (3)
100 000-500 000 39 (41) >500 000 27 (29)  Status of medical licence (n=96):  Unaffected 66 (69) Restricted or suspended 30 (31) Involved with licensing body* at enrolment (n=99):  Yes 65 (66) No 34 (34)  Primary drug of choice (n=95):  Alcohol 48 (51) Opioids 35 (37) Other 12 (13)  Previous treatment for drug related problem (n=97):  Yes 43 (44) No 54 (56)  Current tobacco smoker (n=93):  Yes 35 (38) No 58 (62)  Previous psychiatric treatment (past five years) (n=100):  Yes 36 (36) No 64 (64)  Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96):  Yes 58 (60) No 38 (40)  Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):  Yes 15 (16) No 81 (84)  Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100) 45.4 (10.5)  Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)	5000-24 999	7 (7)
Status of medical licence (n=96):   Unaffected	25 000-99 999	18 (19)
Status of medical licence (n=96):       66 (69)         Restricted or suspended       30 (31)         Involved with licensing body* at enrolment (n=99):       56 (66)         No       34 (34)         Primary drug of choice (n=95):       48 (51)         Alcohol       48 (51)         Opioids       35 (37)         Other       12 (13)         Previous treatment for drug related problem (n=97):         Yes       43 (44)         No       54 (56)         Current tobacco smoker (n=93):         Yes       35 (38)         No       58 (62)         Previous psychiatric treatment (past five years) (n=100):         Yes       36 (36)         No       64 (64)         Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96):       Yes         Yes       58 (60)         No       38 (40)         Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):       Yes         Yes       15 (16)         No       81 (84)         Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)       45.4 (10.5)         Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)       16.2 (10.8)	100 000-500 000	39 (41)
Unaffected       66 (69)         Restricted or suspended       30 (31)         Involved with licensing body* at enrolment (n=99):       \$65 (66)         No       34 (34)         Primary drug of choice (n=95):       \$48 (51)         Alcohol       48 (51)         Opioids       35 (37)         Other       12 (13)         Previous treatment for drug related problem (n=97):       \$4 (44)         No       54 (56)         Current tobacco smoker (n=93):       \$5 (38)         Yes       35 (38)         No       58 (62)         Previous psychiatric treatment (past five years) (n=100):       \$5 (60)         Yes       36 (36)         No       64 (64)         Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96):       \$5 (60)         No       38 (40)         Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):       \$5 (60)         Yes       15 (16)         No       81 (84)         Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)       45.4 (10.5)         Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)       16.2 (10.8)	>500 000	27 (29)
Restricted or suspended       30 (31)         Involved with licensing body* at enrolment (n=99):       65 (66)         Yes       65 (66)         No       34 (34)         Primary drug of choice (n=95):       48 (51)         Alcohol       48 (51)         Opioids       35 (37)         Other       12 (13)         Previous treatment for drug related problem (n=97):         Yes       43 (44)         No       54 (56)         Current tobacco smoker (n=93):         Yes       35 (38)         No       58 (62)         Previous psychiatric treatment (past five years) (n=100):         Yes       36 (36)         No       64 (64)         Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96):       58 (60)         No       38 (40)         Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):       15 (16)         Yes       15 (16)         No       81 (84)         Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)       45.4 (10.5)         Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)       16.2 (10.8)	Status of medical licence (n=96):	
Involved with licensing body* at enrolment (n=99):    Yes	Unaffected	66 (69)
Yes       65 (66)         No       34 (34)         Primary drug of choice (n=95):       48 (51)         Alcohol       48 (51)         Opioids       35 (37)         Other       12 (13)         Previous treatment for drug related problem (n=97):       43 (44)         No       54 (56)         Current tobacco smoker (n=93):       Yes         Yes       35 (38)         No       58 (62)         Previous psychiatric treatment (past five years) (n=100):       Yes         Yes       36 (36)         No       64 (64)         Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96):       Yes         Yes       58 (60)         No       38 (40)         Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):       Yes         Yes       15 (16)         No       81 (84)         Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)       45.4 (10.5)         Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)       16.2 (10.8)	Restricted or suspended	30 (31)
No       34 (34)         Primary drug of choice (n=95):       48 (51)         Alcohol       48 (51)         Opioids       35 (37)         Other       12 (13)         Previous treatment for drug related problem (n=97):       43 (44)         No       54 (56)         Current tobacco smoker (n=93):       Yes         Yes       35 (38)         No       58 (62)         Previous psychiatric treatment (past five years) (n=100):       Yes         Yes       36 (36)         No       64 (64)         Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96):       Yes         Yes       58 (60)         No       38 (40)         Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):       Yes         Yes       15 (16)         No       81 (84)         Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)       45.4 (10.5)         Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)       16.2 (10.8)	Involved with licensing body* at enrolment (n=99):	
Primary drug of choice (n=95):  Alcohol	Yes	65 (66)
Alcohol       48 (51)         Opioids       35 (37)         Other       12 (13)         Previous treatment for drug related problem (n=97):       43 (44)         No       54 (56)         Current tobacco smoker (n=93):       35 (38)         No       58 (62)         Previous psychiatric treatment (past five years) (n=100):       36 (36)         No       64 (64)         Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96):       7es         Yes       58 (60)         No       38 (40)         Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):       15 (16)         No       81 (84)         Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)       45.4 (10.5)         Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)       16.2 (10.8)	No	34 (34)
Opioids       35 (37)         Other       12 (13)         Previous treatment for drug related problem (n=97):       43 (44)         No       54 (56)         Current tobacco smoker (n=93):       35 (38)         No       58 (62)         Previous psychiatric treatment (past five years) (n=100):       36 (36)         No       64 (64)         Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96):       7es         Yes       58 (60)         No       38 (40)         Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):       15 (16)         No       81 (84)         Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)       45.4 (10.5)         Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)       16.2 (10.8)	Primary drug of choice (n=95):	
Other       12 (13)         Previous treatment for drug related problem (n=97):         Yes       43 (44)         No       54 (56)         Current tobacco smoker (n=93):         Yes       35 (38)         No       58 (62)         Previous psychiatric treatment (past five years) (n=100):         Yes       36 (36)         No       64 (64)         Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96):         Yes       58 (60)         No       38 (40)         Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):       Yes         Yes       15 (16)         No       81 (84)         Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)       45.4 (10.5)         Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)       16.2 (10.8)	Alcohol	48 (51)
Previous treatment for drug related problem (n=97):  Yes	Opioids	35 (37)
Yes       43 (44)         No       54 (56)         Current tobacco smoker (n=93):       35 (38)         Yes       35 (38)         No       58 (62)         Previous psychiatric treatment (past five years) (n=100):       Yes         Yes       36 (36)         No       64 (64)         Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96):       Yes         Yes       58 (60)         No       38 (40)         Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):       Yes         Yes       15 (16)         No       81 (84)         Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)       45.4 (10.5)         Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)       16.2 (10.8)	Other	12 (13)
No 54 (56)  Current tobacco smoker (n=93):  Yes 35 (38)  No 58 (62)  Previous psychiatric treatment (past five years) (n=100):  Yes 36 (36)  No 64 (64)  Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96):  Yes 58 (60)  No 38 (40)  Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):  Yes 15 (16)  No 81 (84)  Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100) 45.4 (10.5)  Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94) 16.2 (10.8)	Previous treatment for drug related problem (n=97):	
Current tobacco smoker (n=93):         Yes       35 (38)         No       58 (62)         Previous psychiatric treatment (past five years) (n=100):	Yes	43 (44)
Yes       35 (38)         No       58 (62)         Previous psychiatric treatment (past five years) (n=100):       36 (36)         Yes       36 (36)         No       64 (64)         Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96):       Yes         Yes       58 (60)         No       38 (40)         Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):       Yes         Yes       15 (16)         No       81 (84)         Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)       45.4 (10.5)         Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)       16.2 (10.8)	No	54 (56)
No       58 (62)         Previous psychiatric treatment (past five years) (n=100):       36 (36)         Yes       36 (36)         No       64 (64)         Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96):       \$8 (60)         No       38 (40)         Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):       \$15 (16)         Yes       15 (16)         No       81 (84)         Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)       45.4 (10.5)         Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)       16.2 (10.8)	Current tobacco smoker (n=93):	
Previous psychiatric treatment (past five years) (n=100):       36 (36)         Yes       36 (464)         Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96):       58 (60)         Yes       58 (60)         No       38 (40)         Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):       15 (16)         Yes       15 (16)         No       81 (84)         Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)       45.4 (10.5)         Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)       16.2 (10.8)	Yes	35 (38)
Yes       36 (36)         No       64 (64)         Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96):       \$58 (60)         Yes       58 (60)         No       38 (40)         Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):       \$15 (16)         Yes       15 (16)         No       81 (84)         Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)       45.4 (10.5)         Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)       16.2 (10.8)	No	58 (62)
No       64 (64)         Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96):       58 (60)         Yes       58 (60)         No       38 (40)         Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):       15 (16)         Yes       15 (16)         No       81 (84)         Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)       45.4 (10.5)         Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)       16.2 (10.8)	Previous psychiatric treatment (past five years) (n=100):	
Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96):         Yes       58 (60)         No       38 (40)         Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):         Yes       15 (16)         No       81 (84)         Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)       45.4 (10.5)         Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)       16.2 (10.8)	Yes	
Yes         58 (60)           No         38 (40)           Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):         15 (16)           Yes         15 (16)           No         81 (84)           Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)         45.4 (10.5)           Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)         16.2 (10.8)	No	64 (64)
No     38 (40)       Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):       Yes     15 (16)       No     81 (84)       Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)     45.4 (10.5)       Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)     16.2 (10.8)	Ever experienced physical or emotional abuse (n=96):	
Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):       Yes     15 (16)       No     81 (84)       Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)     45.4 (10.5)       Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)     16.2 (10.8)	Yes	58 (60)
Yes         15 (16)           No         81 (84)           Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)         45.4 (10.5)           Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)         16.2 (10.8)		38 (40)
No         81 (84)           Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)         45.4 (10.5)           Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)         16.2 (10.8)	Ever been sued for malpractice (n=96):	
Mean (SD) age at enrolment (n=100)       45.4 (10.5)         Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94)       16.2 (10.8)	Yes	15 (16)
Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94) 16.2 (10.8)		
	Mean (SD) years in practice (n=94) 16.2 (10.8)	

<sup>\*</sup>College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario was aware of participant's contract with physician health programme.

licensure, history of substance use, treatment history, psychiatric history, and experience of physical and emotional abuse.

While being monitored by the physician health programme, any use of alcohol or an illicit drug or use of any psychoactive drug not prescribed by a participant's doctor was considered to be relapse. Relapse ranges from single use to full resumption of dependence on substance use. After brief relapse, participants may continue the monitoring programme to successful completion; more serious relapse may lead to the doctor having to restart the programme under a new contract or leaving the programme.

### Data analysis

The data were analysed using SPSS version 15.0. We summarised the characteristics of participants using frequencies for categorical variables and means and standard deviations for continuous variables.

### **RESULTS**

The table shows the personal characteristics of the participants, details of their practices, and drug and treatment histories on entry to the monitoring programme. In total, 90% of participants were men; two thirds (66%) were married or living with a partner. The mean (SD) age at enrolment was 45 (11) years, with a mean (SD) years experience of medical practice of 16 (11) years. Almost a half (48%) were in general practice or family practice. Most practised patient care in single or group practices, and most practised in urban areas, communities of 100 000 or more. These characteristics were generally similar to the population of Ontario doctors (Member Services Department, Ontario Medical Association). The licences of two thirds (69%) of participants were unaffected at the time of enrolment; the remainder (31%) were suspended or restricted (mostly to prevent the prescribing of narcotics). Two thirds of participants enrolled to satisfy a requirement of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario.

Half of the participants (51%) used alcohol as their primary drug of choice, one third opioids (37%), and the remainder (36%) other drugs such as benzodiazepines, stimulants, or illicit drugs such as cannabis or cocaine. Nearly half (44%) had received drug related treatment at some time before the most recent treatment. More than a third (38%) were current smokers, higher than the current rate of smoking among the general population of US doctors, which is about 5%. <sup>11</sup> Thirty six per cent of the participants had had psychiatric treatment in the previous five years. More than half (60%) reported ever experiencing some form of physical or emotional abuse.

During the monitoring period 71% of participants had no known relapse. An additional 14% went on to complete the monitoring programme after some form of relapse. In total, 85% of the doctors successfully completed the programme.

### DISCUSSION

Doctors who entered the Ontario Physician Health Program for substance dependence were typically middle aged, married men dependent on alcohol or opioids. Overall, 85% of the participants successfully completed the programme.

The strength of our study is that the participants were 100 doctors consecutively admitted for monitoring of

### WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

Studies have shown a high rate of successful outcomes in doctors enrolled in monitoring programmes for substance dependence

### WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

In a sample of 100 doctors consecutively admitted to a physician health programme, most were men and the drugs of dependence were alcohol or opioids

After abstinence based residential treatment, 85% of the doctors successfully completed the comprehensive programme of monitoring with contractual contingencies

substance dependence in a physician health programme, without selection. Results were accumulated over 10 years, the time taken for 100 doctors to complete at least five years of monitoring.

One possible weakness of the study is that the characteristics of doctors entering the programme could change over this time span. Measures on the intake questionnaire were by self report and subject to the limitations of that method. Possibly we failed to identify some relapses, leading to misclassification of outcomes. The relatively small number of participants prevented us from studying the relation between characteristics of the participants at intake and relapse.

This profile of the personal characteristics of the participants and their practices is typical of other reports of participants in physician health programmes, where women are under-represented. The high rate of smoking among the participants compared with the general population of US doctors (38% v 5%) and the high success rate is comparable to that of other North American physician health programmes that report outcomes for monitoring substance dependence.  $^{48-10}$ 

Doctors entering a substance dependence monitoring programme are more likely to be men and to be smokers than other doctors. They are usually known to the licensing body. Their primary drugs of choice are alcohol or opioids. A structured monitoring programme after abstinence based primary treatment for these substance dependent doctors, with regulatory contingencies for lack of adherence, can produce successful results. Further research is needed to

examine the relation of the characteristics of the doctors and components of the programme to relapse, so that monitoring can be tailored to the specific needs of participants.

Contributors: JMB contributed to the design of the intake questionnaire and the study design, reviewed the literature, analysed the data, and led the writing of the paper. IMK designed the monitoring programme, contributed to the study design, and had a major role in writing the paper. CMacW administered the monitoring programme in later years, ensured accurate data collection and entry, and reviewed the paper. SH administered the monitoring programme early in the programme, ensured accurate data collection and entry, and reviewed the paper. JMB and IMK are the guarantors.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Ontario Physician Health Program. JMB was paid as a consultant to carry out data analyses and write the paper. The other authors are employees of the Ontario Physician Health Program or the Ontario Medical Association, and their participation in the research was part of their employment. The research interpretation and outcomes are independent of the funding.

Competing interests: None declared.

**Ethical approval:** This study was a secondary analysis of an anonymous clinical database. It was approved by the Health Sciences Research Ethics Board of the University of Toronto.

**Provenance and peer review:** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed

- 1 Federation of State Physician Health Programs. 2008. www.fsphp. org/.
- 2 Canadian Medical Association. Canadian Physician Health Network. 2008. www.cma.ca/index.cfm/ci\_id/25567/la\_id/1.htm.
- 3 British Medical Association. Doctors' health and wellbeing. 2008. www.bma.org.uk/ap.nsf/Content/D4Dabout.
- 4 Galanter M, Talbott D, Gallegos K, Rubenstone E. Combined alcoholics anonymous and professional care for addicted physicians. Am J Psychiatr 1990;147:64-8.
- 5 Gossop M, Stephens S, Stewart D, Marshall J, Bearn J, Strang J. Health care professionals referred for treatment of alcohol and drug problems. Alc Alcoholism 2001;36:160-4.
- 6 Reading EG. Nine years experience with chemically dependent physicians: the New Jersey experience. Md Med J 1992;41:325-9.
- 7 Glaser FB, Brewster JM, Sisson BV. Alcohol and drug problems in Ontario physicians: characteristics of the physician sample. *Can Fam Physician* 1986;32:993-9.
- 8 Bohigian GM, Croughan JL, Sanders K, Evans ML, Bondurant R, Platt C. Substance abuse and dependence in physicians: the Missouri physicians' health program. South Med J 1996;89:1078-80.
- 9 Mansky PA. Issues in the recovery of physicians from addictive illnesses. Psychiatric Times 2003 Feb 1;20(2). www.psychiatrictimes.com/display/article/ 10168/48341.
- 10 Nelson HD, Matthews AM, Girard DE, Bloom JD. Substance-impaired physicians: probationary and voluntary treatment programs compared. Western J Med 1996;165:31-6.
- 11 Smith DR, Leggat PA. An international review of tobacco smoking in the medical profession: 1974-2004. BMC Public Health 2007;7:115.

Accepted: 21 September 2008

BMI | ONLINE FIRST | bmi.com